

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/941,186	LOHR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Minh-Chau T. Pham. (3) _____
 (2) Mr. Douglas Scholer. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-10 and 13-17.

Identification of prior art discussed: All of record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discusses the novelty of the invention which is an incubator with a VOC filter removably attached to the inlet of the blower and the advantage of using the high flow rate blower to constraint increase contamination and costs. Applicant will amend the claims to reflect such the novelty.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required